### **BU Sustainability: Understanding How Weather Impacts Waste**

Spring 2023 CS506 Data Science

**Team Members**

| **Name** | **Year** | **Email** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Zeqi Wang | Senior (BA/MS) | zw100107@bu.edu |
| Timur Zhunussov |  |  |
| Akshad Ramnath | Senior | shadr@bu.edu |
| Baicheng Fang |  |  |

**Introduction**

BU Sustainability supports the transformation of Boston University’s planning, operations, and culture toward a sustainable and equitable future.They have pulled multiple spreadsheets with data from their 3rd party vendor Contelligent and our waste vendor Casella. Contelligent provides PSI fullness monitoring of our compactors. The client has provided detailed data sets from each monitor, overall data, temperature data, and waste generation spreadsheet by data from Casella. The analysis of these datasets will inform BU Sustainability how they can potentially improve where to store waste if there are adverse weather effects.

The primary motivation for the project is to find a correlation between weather and waste generation at BU so that BU sustainability can potentially improve waste storage location in the case of adverse weather conditions and help take care of our campus better.

**Topic: Relationship between Compressor PSI and Temperature** - Zeqi Wang

**Intro**

The research I focused on was finding out if there is a relationship between temperature and the compressor's psi value. The dataset I was using was the ‘readings\_device.#####.csv’. The time frame of the data record was from 2021-07-01 to 2022-10-02, and 24 compressor machines were located at the BU campus (Charles, Fenway, and MED)


**Assumption**

The assumption we made here is the higher the psi value, the fuller the trash machine will be, which means there will be more waste generated in that certain machine.

**Data Processing & Strategies**


The initial data we obtained was very detailed in that it was recorded every few tens of seconds. To make the analysis more convenient, I calculated a summary of the data for each machine for each day, including the mean, median, minimum, and maximum values of PSI and temperature.

For initial visualization, I plotted a line chart of temperature (Fahrenheit) with the Psi value for each machine, and here is an example of Warren Towers:

(For complete graphs, check [here](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/lineChart_for_each_device_all_dates.pdf))



This initial visualization didn’t provide too much information, to find out the relationship, my first strategy is to calculate the correlation coefficient\*.

\* Correlation coefficient is a statistical measurement that describes the degree of relationship between two variables. (For example the psi value and temperature) and the coefficients are ranged from +1 to -1. If the correlation coefficient is positive, it means that there is a direct relationship between the two variables - as one increases, so does the other. If it is negative, it means that there is an inverse relationship - as one increases, the other decreases.



The table above shows the correlation coefficient of Mean ValuePsi and Fahrenheit for each location’s machine. The red color represents the positive relationship with coefficient above 0.1 and the blue color represents the negative relationship with coefficient below -0.1. There is no significant difference in different types of Fahrenheit values, and for further analysis, I will be using Mean Fahrenheit only.

For visualization purposes, I plotted them on a map with colors based on their coefficient:



[(link to the complete map all dates)](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/device_map_all_days.html) (sample information of Life Science Building)

The map above visually represents the relationship between the psi value and temperature for each location. For a more detailed description, I separated the strength of correlation by the darkness of color:

The Strong relationships are for those locations with an absolute value of the Correlation Coefficient larger and equal to 0.2. The Weak relationships are for those locations with an absolute value of the Correlation Coefficient smaller than 0.2 but greater than 0.1. The gray color pin indicates we cannot find out an obvious relationship between temperature and psi value. 

By looking at the data, we can see that most of the Academic and Residential buildings show some level of relationship between temperature and waste generation. Apart from Life Science and Warren Towers, which show a positive correlation, other residents and academic buildings are showing negative correlations.



**Further Analysis - Linear Regression**

Linear regression provides more information than just the correlation coefficient. While the correlation coefficient measures the strength and direction of the linear relationship between two variables, linear regression can help predict the values of one variable based on the values of another variable.

Additionally, linear regression provides other important metrics such as R-squared (coefficient of determination), which measures the proportion of the variance in the dependent variable that is explained by the independent variables. This gives a more complete understanding of the relationship between the variables and the predictive power of the model.

R-squared (R²) is a statistical measure that represents the proportion of the variance for a dependent variable that's explained by an independent variable or variables in a regression model. In other words, it's a measure of how well the regression line fits the data. R-squared values range from 0 to 1, with higher values indicating that more of the variance in the dependent variable is explained by the independent variable(s) in the model. A value of 1 indicates a perfect fit, where all of the variance in the dependent variable is explained by the independent variable(s), while a value of 0 indicates no relationship between the variables.

After performing Linear Regression on each location’s data, we found some locations that indicate a strong relationship between temperature and Psi, as shown in the graph below:

(for complete graphs, check [here](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/linear_regression_plots_all_days.pdf))

After using the linear regression, we are able to find out the relationship with higher reliability since there are more locations identified as ‘no obvious relationship’. The above two locations are the ones that show a strong correlation.


**Extension idea**


During the research, I realized that temperature data alone may not be enough to accurately predict waste generation as there may be other factors at play, such as occupancy rates, types of buildings, etc. Our team tried to find out the population of each location but we didn’t have enough sources to look for that information. Therefore, one of the possible strategies is to analyze the data based on BU’s opening and closing dates, since there is a big population difference between school days and breaks.

Here I separated the original data with all dates into two sets: **Without Breaks** and **Only Breaks**. Based on the data we have, and by referencing the BU Calendar, I have separated out the following dates as breaks:

* 2021 Summer Break: May 23 - September 1
* 2021 Winter Break: December 19 - January 19
* 2022 Summer Break: May 14 - September 5


The following graphs are the line chart of Warren Towers' data without breaks and only breaks.

(sample line graph of data without break, for complete graph, check [here](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/lineChart_for_each_device_without_breaks.pdf))


(sample line graph of data with only break, for complete graph, check [here](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/lineChart_for_each_device_only_breaks.pdf))

Note: The straight stroke between empty values is not being calculated during the following analysis.

I also calculated the correlation coefficient for these two data sets.



As we can see from the Table, after deleting the break dates from the original data, we are able to see there is one more Academic building (BU #35) showing a positive correlation while previously we only has BU #38 Life Science Building. And for Warren Towers, the original all-date data set only indicates a correlation of 0.15, and now we have 0.39. This means that the school break is also an important factor in affecting waste generation.



When we only look at the data during breaks, we can find out some resident buildings like BU #48 student village, and BU# 69 Graduate Apartments show a higher relationship than during school days, and for Warren Towers, there is no relationship to be found. We can easily understand the reason by assuming students are leaving Warren Towers during breaks.

There are also Maps and Linear Regression Graphs for these two datasets, to make the page short, I provide the link below:

* [Correlation Map for all locations with all days](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/device_map_all_days.html)
* [Correlation Map for all locations without breaks](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/device_map_without_breaks.html)
* [Correlation Map for all locations with only breaks](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/device_map_only_breaks.html)
* [Linear Regression graphs for all locations with all days](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/linear_regression_plots_all_days.pdf)
* [Linear Regression graphs for all locations without breaks](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/linear_regression_plots_without_breaks.pdf)
* [Linear Regression graphs for all locations with only breaks](https://cs-people.bu.edu/zw100107/CS506/linear_regression_plots_only_breaks.pdf)

**Conclusion**

**Does temperature impact waste generation (in terms of Psi)?**

The temperature may not be the reason or the cause for waste generation, but during the analysis, we can find out that temperature and waste generation do have some correlations.

**Can we use temperature as a predictor of waste generation and service level requirements?**

We can definitely use the temperature as a reference for predicting waste generation. However, this has to be done by cases, we need to consider whether we want to predict the waste generation during breaks or during school opening days. Once we decide which dates to predict, we need to focus on different locations. In general, we can find that during school opening days, there are stronger relationships being found in Academic Areas, and during school breaks, there are stronger relationships being found in Residential Areas. However, we cannot guarantee that we can make precise predictions on all locations. By looking at the higher absolute value of correlation coefficients and higher value of R-squared value of Linear Regression, we can make reliable predictions in locations like **BU 685 Comm Ave**, **BU MED**, and **Warren Towers**. For a complete prediction graph for all locations, please check the above Correlation Coefficient tables & Maps and Linear Regression Graphs.

**Topic:** **Analysis of metadata** - Timur Zhunussov


**Intro**


My team has been working on correlating temperature and compressor PSI values. To further our analysis, I decided to investigate additional data, such as temperature readings from different locations, the number of compactions, and the difference between compactions and waste pickups. However, we found that there was a low correlation between temperature and PSI values, so I explored different models to predict temperature.

**Assumption**


Upon an initial analysis of the data, I discovered that some inconsistencies exist. For instance, certain locations lack temperature readings for specific time periods. To address these gaps, we need to determine an appropriate method for filling them. Given that all the locations are within Boston, we can reasonably assume that there won't be significant differences in temperature readings between these sites.

To fill the gaps, I have attempted to combine data from different locations with one another. In the example below, we compare temperature readings at GSU, 140 Bay State, and SHS:




In this plot, we can observe the temperature readings for Grad Apartments, 22 Babit, and Agganis Arena:


By merging the temperature data from Grad Apartments, 22 Babit, and Agganis Arena and others we can calculate the average temperature and compare it with historical data from the National Centers for Environmental Information Climate Data Online (NCEI CDO):




We can observe that the data is nearly identical.


The second assumption involved distinguishing between compactions and waste pickups using the provided data, with the goal of identifying any patterns regarding the frequency of pickups at certain locations and times. I began by examining compactions at different locations:




Due to the large number of compactions, we may obtain a substantial amount of data in a single day, making it challenging to interpret the information. As we can see in this example, the PSI values for Warren Towers are tightly packed together, which complicates our understanding of the data.

To address this issue, I decided to use the find\_peaks method and apply a smoothing function over 50 neighboring data points. This approach made the data more readable and easier to interpret.



Furthermore, I attempted to count the number of waste pickups from the compaction data by dividing it into two groups: one group with small changes over a given period and another with larger changes. The results showed that there were 130 compactions with PSI values around 30 and 24 pickups with PSI values above 200. This analysis allowed us to gain a better understanding of the distribution of waste pickups and compactions based on PSI values. However it is difficult to be sure that data is correct since it has some fluke in data.

Finally, I explored three different models to assess any correlation in prediction, similar to what we did in class. Although the available data is limited and there aren't many features to build a robust model, I proceeded with splitting the data into training and testing sets using an 80/20 split. Then, I applied Linear Regression, Decision Trees, and Support Vector Machine (SVM) models to evaluate their performance and identify any predictive correlation between them.



From the results, we can observe that Linear Regression and SVM display similar outcomes, indicating low or no correlation in their predictions. However, Decision Trees demonstrate better results, although it's important to consider the possibility of overfitting, which might be causing this improved performance.

Topic: - Baicheng Fang

Topic: - Akshad Ramnath